District: COLORADO SPRINGS 11 - 1010 (3 Year')

AEC: Performance (See
Alternative Education Campus

SPF) (Revised)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

•			=	
Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 3.8 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	( 14.6 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	38.9%	( 5.8 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	26.7%	( 9.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		33.5%	( 33.5 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

<b>Test Participation Rates</b>																
		% of Stud	lents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Student	ts Tested			Total S	tudents	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.2%	97.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	175	175	-	-	180	180
Mathematics	-	-	97.7%	97.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	173	173	-	-	177	177
Writing	-	-	97.2%	97.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	175	175	-	-	180	180
Science	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	117	117	-	-	120	120
Colorado ACT	-	-	94.9%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	74	-	-	-	78	-





Performance Indicators							Lev	el: High School
School: COMMUNITY PREP CHARTER	SCHOOL					D	istrict: COLORADO SPRINGS :	11 - 1010 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	42.86	7	-
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	5.88	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	119	15.97	4	-
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	13.92	4	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	92	46	55	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	26	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	92	47	93	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	12	41.7%	Approaching		Growth Fercenthe	Growth Fercentile	Growth:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	41.7/0	Approaching	66	40	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Does Not Meet	50	39	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Does Not Meet	N<20	- 59	-	INU
English Learners	0	0		<u> </u>	N<20		<u>-</u>	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	54	50		No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet	34	30	73	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	66	21	99	No
	<u>1</u> 1	4			50	23	99	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Does Not Meet	N<20	23 		NO
English Learners	0	0			N<20	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	81	28	<u> </u>	No
	6	12	50%		01	28	99	INO
Writing			30%	Approaching		40	0.4	NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	66	40	94	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	50 N<20	43	97	No
	0	0		<del>-</del>	N<20	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>
English Learners Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	71	46	<u> </u>	No
Total	14	36	38.9%	Approaching	71	40	37	NO
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4	70 F UIIILS	Does Not Meet		220/165/91/ <b>39</b>	20/29.1/39.6/ <b>51.3</b> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	3	33.3%	Does Not Meet		220/ 103/ 31/ 33	20/23.1/33.0/31.3/0	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1	33.370	Does Not Meet		149/120/70/ <b>27</b>	20.1/26.7/35.7/ <b>37</b> %	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		92/76/ <b>37</b> /N<16	14.1/23.7/ <b>37.8</b> /-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Approaching		43/37/ <b>18</b> /N<16	25.6/35.1/ <b>77.8</b> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	0		Approaching		6/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	<u> </u>	4		Does Not Meet	11/1	1034	12%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		74	14.8	20.1
Total	4	15	26.7%	Does Not Meet		,,	17.0	20.1
IUlai	4	12	20.7%	Jues Not Ivieet				

Scoring Guide Level: H

Performance Indicato	rScoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Le	vel Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advan	nced was:			<u>'</u>			
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1	LO baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile.	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen	tile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas	seline).	·	Does Not Me	et	1	7	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth perce	•	percentile was:		TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	•	<u> </u>	Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1	7	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.5	7	
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student gr	rowth percentile and its median stud	lent growth percentile was:		_			
	• at or above 60.	·	<u> </u>	Exceeds		4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3		
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Me	et	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its med	dian student growth percent	ile was:	•		subgroups in 3	15
•	• at or above 70.	· ·		Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	7 ' '	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	7	
	• below 40.			Does Not Me	et	1	7	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/di	saggregated graduation	rate was.	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.		30 0 0	Exceeds	4	1	7	
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75	7	
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5	7	
	• below 65%.			Does Not Me	et 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readines	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	) baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-1)			Approaching		2		
	above 10%.			Does Not Me	ot .	1	7	
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Co	olorado ACT composite score w	us.	Does Het IIIc	- 4			
	• at or above 22.	olorado Aer composite score w	u3.	Exceeds	T	4	7	
	at or above 22.      at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)	haseline)		Meets	1	3	+	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10)     at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10)			Approaching		2	-	
	• below 17.	buseline).		Does Not Me	ot .	1	-	
					- <b>q</b>			The state of the s
	erformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
	t Point: The school earned of the points eligible on th				earned	. of the t	otal Framework points eligi	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%				Performance
Growth; Gaps •	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - be				Improvement
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - be	low 47%			Priority Improvem
	helow 27 5%	Does Not Meet		● helow 33%				Turnaround

Cut-Points for each	n pertorman	ce indicator		Cut-Points for plan type assignment					
	Cut Point: T	he school earned of the points eligible on th	nis Indicator.	Cut Point: The school earned of the total Framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or abov	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or above 60%	Performance			
Growth; Gaps	at or abov	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement			
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%  Approaching		Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.	5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround			
School plan type a	ssignments								
		Plan description							
Performance Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Per	formance Plan.	A school may not implemen	nt a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer t	han a combined total of			
Improvement Plan									
Priority Improvem	ent Plan	tre State of the school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan		The school is required to adopt and implement a Tur	naround Plan.	school is notified that it is r	equired to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround I	Plan.			

3

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1885

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

4



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1885

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	24.4	37.5	50	51.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.3	21.3	31.4	
of Graduation	2010	16.7	29.5		
	2011	23.3			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	17.9	26.9	34.5	37
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	23.7	36.6	
of Graduation	2010	15.2	28.6		
	2011	27.5			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	15	21.4	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	7.4	22.6		
	2011	20.7			

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	21.1		
	2011	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# <u>This School's</u> Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	24.4	37.5	50	51.3
Anticipated Year	2009	13.3	21.3	31.4	
of Graduation	2010	16.7	29.5		
	2011	23.3			
	Aggregated	20	29.1	39.6	51.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	17.9	26.9	34.5	37
Anticipated Year	2009	16.7	23.7	36.6	
of Graduation	2010	15.2	28.6		
	2011	27.5			
	Aggregated	20.1	26.7	35.7	37

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	12.5	29.4	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	15	21.4	30.8	
of Graduation	2010	7.4	22.6		
	2011	20.7			
	Aggregated	14.1	23.7	37.8	N<16

## Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	11.8	21.1		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	25.6	35.1	77.8	N<16

## **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

5

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 1010 - 1885